During the week of November 30 - December 4, 2009, a team of DSS staff from state office and surrounding counties conducted an onsite review of child welfare services in Saluda County. A sample of open and closed foster care and treatment cases were reviewed. Also reviewed were screened out intakes, foster home licensing records, and unfounded investigations. Stakeholders interviewed for this review included foster parents, foster child, Saluda DSS supervisor and workers, representative from the schools, Foster Care Review Board, Mental Health and Guardian Ad Litem Program.

Period under Review: November 1, 2008 to October 31, 2009

Purpose

The Department of Social Services engages in a review of child welfare services in each county to:

- a) Determine to what degree services are delivered in compliance with federal and state laws and agency policy; and
- b) Assess the outcomes for children and families engaged in the child welfare system.

State law (§43-1-115) states, in part:

The state department shall conduct, at least once every five years, a substantive quality review of the child protective services and foster care programs in each county and each adoption office in the State. The county's performance must be assessed with reference to specific outcome measures published in advance by the department.

The information obtained by the child welfare services review process will:

- a) Give county staff feedback on the effectiveness of their interventions.
- b) Direct state office technical assistance staff to assist county staff with their areas needing improvement.
- c) Inform agency administrators of which systemic factors impair county staff's ability to achieve specific outcomes.
- d) Direct training staff to provide training for county staff specific to their needs.

Quantitative and Qualitative Data Sources

The county-specific review of child welfare services is both quantitative and qualitative.

The review is **quantitative** because it begins with an analysis of every child welfare outcome report for that county for the period under review. The outcome reports reflect the performance of the county in all areas of the child welfare program: Child Protective Services (CPS) Intake, CPS Investigations, CPS In-Home Treatment, and Foster Care.

The review is **qualitative** because it assesses the quality of the services rendered and the effectiveness of those services. The review seeks to explain why a county's performance data looks the way it does.

Ratings

The standard that must be met for all items reviewed onsite is 95%. Each outcome report has its own standard. To be rated an area of **Strength** most items must meet both the qualitative onsite review standard **and** the quantitative outcome report standard.

Safety Outcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

Agency Data

Performance Measure 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations on Reports of Child

Maltreatment - Of all reports of child maltreatment that were accepted for investigation during the reporting period, what percentage had a dictation type contact of initial contact where the action date is within 24 hours of accepting the report?

Report Period: September 1, 2008 to August 31, 2009

Objective: 100% in <= 24 hours (state law)								
	Number of	Number of	Percent of	Numbers of				
	Investigations	Investigations	Investigations	Investigations				
	_	Initiated Timely	Initiated Timely	Above (Below)				
		-	-	Objective				
State	17,908	17,547	97.98%	(361)				
Saluda	74	74	100%					

The county's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of two items:

1) Timeliness of initiating investigations

Strength

2) Repeat Maltreatment

Area Needing Improvement

Explanation of Item 1: Timeliness of Initiating Investigations

This is an area of **Strength** for Saluda DSS. State law requires that an investigation of all accepted reports of abuse and neglect be initiated within 24 hours. Agency data indicates that for the 12-month period under review, Saluda DSS initiated all 74 of its 74 investigations (100%) of alleged abuse and neglect within 24 hours. Reviewers determined that the agency was appropriately assigning risk ratings to investigations.

Onsite Review Findings									
Safety Item 2: Repeat Maltreatment									
		Area Needing							
	Strength		Improvement		Not Ap	plicable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Foster Care	7	70%	3	30%	0	0			
Treatment	9	90%	1	10%	0	0			
Total of Cases	16	80%	4	20%	0	0			

Explanation of Item 2: Repeat Maltreatment

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item measures the occurrence of maltreatment among children under agency supervision, or within a year of having their case closed by the agency. Reviewers determined that in 20% of the cases, the children under agency supervision did experience additional maltreatment. The repeat maltreatment occurred when the children were in in-home treatment cases. In three of the cases the repeat maltreatment caused the children to enter foster care.

Safety Outcome 2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

The county's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of two items:

- 3) Services to family to protect children & prevent removal
- 4) Risk of Harm

Strength

Area Needing Improvement

Onsite Review Findings									
Safety Item 3: Services to Family to Protect Child(ren) in Home and Prevent Removal									
	Area Needing								
	Stren	Strength		Improvement		plicable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Foster Care	9	100%	0	0	1	0			
Treatment	9	90%	1	10%	0	0			
Total Cases	18	95%	1	5%	1	0			

Explanation of Item 3: Services to Family to Protect Children and Prevent Removal

This is an area of **Strength** for Saluda DSS. This item assesses whether services were adequate to protect children in their home and prevent their removal and placement into foster care. In 100% of the foster care cases, the decision to remove the children from their homes and place them in foster care was appropriate. In 90% of the treatment cases reviewed, appropriate services were being offered to safely maintain the children in their home.

Onsite Review Findings									
Safety Item 4: Risk of Harm									
	Area Needing								
	Stren	gth	Improv	vement	Not Applicable				
	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Foster Care	10	100%	0	0	0	0			
Treatment	4	40%	6	60%	0	0			
Total Cases	14	70%	6	30%	0	0			

Explanation of Item 4: Risk of Harm

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item assesses whether the agency's interventions reduced risk of harm to children. In 100% of the foster care cases reviewed, risk of harm was adequately managed. Reviewers rated 60% of the in-home treatment cases as needing improvement. In those cases, risk of harm to children in the home was not properly managed because the agency failed to complete criminal background checks on all adults who had access and a role in the children's lives or on alternate caregivers.

Permanency Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

The county's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of six items:

- 5) Foster care re-entries
- 6) Stability of foster care placement
- 7) Permanency goal for child
- 8) Reunification/ permanent placement with relatives
- 9) Adoption
- 10) Permanency goal of **Alternate Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA)**

Area Needing Improvement

Strength

Area Needing Improvement

Area Needing Improvement

Strength

Area Needing Improvement

Agency Data

Performance Measure 7: Foster Children Who do Not Re-Enter Care - Of all children discharged from foster care to reunification in the 12 month period prior to reporting period, what percentage did Not re-enter foster care within 12 months of the date of their discharge from the prior foster care episode.

Objective: 90.1% (Federal Standard)									
	Number of Foster	Number of Children	Percent of Children	Number of					
	Children Reunified	Who Did Not	Who Did Not	Children					
	during Reporting	Re-enter Foster Care	Re-Enter Foster	Above					
	Period	Within 12 Months	Care Within 12	(Below)					
			Months	Objective					
State	2,953	2,711	91.80%						
Saluda	14	12	85.71%	(0.6)					

Explanation of Item 5: Foster Care Re-entries

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item measures the frequency of children re-entering foster care within a year of discharge. To meet the objective for this item, 90.1% of children must not re-enter foster care within a year of discharge. Agency data shows that 85.71% of the children who entered care during the period under review had not had a recent foster care episode.

Agency Data

Performance Measure 6: **Stability of Foster Care Placements** – Of all children who had been in foster care at least 8 days but less than 12 months from the time of latest removal from home, the percentage that had no more than two placement settings.

Objective: >= 86.0% (federal standard)								
	FC Services Open >7	Number with	Percent with	Number Above				
	days and < 12 months	No More than 2	No More than 2	(Below)				
		placements	placements	Objective				
State	3,878	2,907	74.96%	(222.5)				
Saluda	24	2	91.67%	1.4				

Onsite Review Findings								
Permanency Item 6: Stability of Foster Care Placement								
		Area Needing						
	Strength		Improve	ment	Not	Applicable		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Foster Care	10	100%	0	0	0	0		

Explanation of Item 6: Stability of Foster Care Placement

This is an area of **Strength** for Saluda DSS. This item measures the frequency of placement changes for children in foster care, and assesses the reasons for those changes. The objective is that at least 86% of the children in care have two or fewer placements within 12 months. Agency data shows that 91.67% of Saluda County children had no more than two placements during the past 12 months. All of the foster care cases reviewed onsite involved children in stable placements, or experienced placement changes made to help children achieve permanency.

Onsite Review Findings								
Permanency Item 7: Permanency Goal for Child								
	Area Needing							
	Stren	igth	Improve	ment	Not	Applicable		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Foster Care	8	80%	2	20%	0	0		

Explanation of Item 7: Permanency Goal for Children

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the appropriateness of permanency goals for children in foster care and the timeliness of those permanency decisions. Reviewers determined that in 80% of the foster care cases reviewed, the agency quickly identified the appropriate goal. However, two cases were rated an area needing improvement because the permanency goal for the children was not appropriate. In one case, the goal of APPLA was established for a child prematurely. In the other case, a child had the goal of reunification for more than more 24 months. The court granted the parents a six-month extension because the agency failed to offer services to the father.

Onsite Review Findings								
Permanency Item 8: Reunification, Guardianship, or Permanent Placement with Relatives								
	Area Needing							
	Strength		Improve	ment	Not Ap	plicable		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Foster Care	3	50%	3	50%	4	0		

Explanation of Item 8: Reunification or Permanent Placement with Relatives

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the activities and processes necessary to accomplish the goal of reunification with caregivers or placement with relatives. Reviewers determined that in 50% of the foster care cases the goal of reunification was achieved timely. However, in the other 50% of the cases improvement was needed because of continued merit hearings and delays in connecting parents to services required in their service plans.

Onsite Review Findings Permanency Item 9: Adoption									
,	Area Needing								
	Stren	gth	Improve	ment	Not Ap	plicable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%			
Foster Care	2	100%	0	0	8	0			

Explanation of Item 9: Adoption

This is an area of **Strength** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the process within the child welfare system to achieve timely adoptions for children in foster care. Every applicable case reviewed was rated an area of strength because the children in those cases with the plan of adoption had been in care less than 12 months, and the agency was on track to finalize those adoptions within the required timeframe.

Onsite Review Findings								
Permanency Item 10: Permanency Goal of Alternate Planned Permanent Living Arrangement								
	Area Needing							
	Stren	gth	Improve	ment	Not Ap	plicable		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Foster Care	1	50%	1	50%	8	0		

Explanation of Item 10: Permanency Goal of APPLA

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the appropriateness and effectiveness of services provided to children with the permanency plan of APPLA. Reviewers also rate whether the agency attempted to locate and reassess relatives or non-relatives that were willing to commit to the youth's long-term care every six months. One case needed improvement because the goal of APPLA was assigned prematurely and the agency was not seeking permanency for the child.

Permanency Outcome 2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.

The county's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of six items:

11) Proximity of foster care placement	Area Needing Improvement
12) Placement with siblings in foster care	Area Needing Improvement
13) Visiting with parents and siblings in foster care	Area Needing Improvement
14) Preserving connections	Area Needing Improvement
15) Relative placement	Area Needing Improvement
16) Relationship of child in care with parents	Area Needing Improvement

Agency Data

Performance Measure 13: Foster Children Placed Within county of Origin – Of all children in foster care during the reporting period (excluding MTS and Adoptions children), what percentage are placed within the county of origin?

Objective: >	Objective: >= 70% (Agency established objective)								
	Number of	Number of Children	Percent of Children	Number of					
	Children in	Placed Within	Children Above						
	Foster Care	County of Origin	County of Origin	(Below) Objective					
State	5,987	4,063	67.86%	(127.9)					
Saluda	27	13	48.15%	(5.9)					

Explanation of Item 11: Proximity of Foster Care Placement

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to keep children close enough to their families so that essential relationships can be maintained. One measure used to evaluate this item is the percentage of children who are placed within the county. The objective is that at least 70% of the children in care be placed within the county. Agency data shows that 48.15% of Saluda DSS children were placed within the county. It should be noted that Saluda County has a total of 5 foster homes serving 27 foster children during the period under review. Of the 27 children, 14 were placed in adjacent Edgefield and Newberry counties.

Onsite Review Findings							
Permanency Item 12: Placement with Siblings							
		Area Needing					
	Stren	gth	Not Ap	plicable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Foster Care	5	63%	3	37	2	0	

Explanation of Item 12: Placement with Siblings in Foster Care

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to keep siblings together when it is appropriate to do so. In 63% of the cases reviewed, siblings group were kept together when appropriate. The cases needing improvement involved sibling groups of three or more that were separated because of the lack of a suitable placement resource.

Onsite Review Findings							
Permanency Item 13: Visiting with Parents and Siblings in Foster Care							
	Area Needing						
	Stren	gth	Improve	ment	Not	Applicable	
# % # % # %							
Foster Care	2	20%	8	80%	0	0	

Explanation of Item 13: Visiting with Siblings in Foster Care and with Parents

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to ensure that visits occur between children in foster care and their siblings and parents. The practice in the Saluda office was to arrange once-per-month visits between children and parents. Policy requires a minimum of two visits per month.

Onsite Review Findings							
Permanency Item 14: Preserving Connections							
		Area Needing					
	Stren	gth	Improve	ment	Not	Applicable	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Foster Care	4	80%	1	20%	5	0	

Explanation of Item 14: Preserving Connections

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to preserve children's connections to the people, places and things that are important to them. Reviewers found that in 80% of the cases reviewed, the agency did a good job of

preserving the relationships that were important to children in foster care. This area needed improvement because in 20% of the cases those connections were not maintained, even though the agency identified numerous relatives of the children.

Onsite Review I	Findings					
Permanency Item	m 15: Relative	e Placemen	t			
			Area Ne	eding		
	Stren	igth	Improve	ment	Not Ap	plicable
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Foster Care	0	0	8	100%	2	0

Explanation of Item 15: Relative Placement

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to identify and assess relatives as potential placement resources for children in foster care. Every applicable case reviewed needed improvement because the agency failed to assess paternal relatives as placement options.

Onsite Review Findings							
Permanency Item 16: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents							
	Area Needing						
	Stren	igth	Improve	ment	Not	Applicable	
	# % # % # %						
Foster Care	0	0	10	100	0	0	

Explanation of Item 16: Relationship of Child in Care with Parents

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to promote a supportive relationship between children in care and their parents, beyond the twice-minimum visitation requirement. Every applicable case reviewed needed improvement because the agency failed to consider the needs of the child when developing visitation plans. The plans developed by caseworkers failed to meet minimum agency standards.

Well-Being Outcome 1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.

The agency's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of four items:

- 17) Needs and services of child, parents and caregivers
- 18) Child and family involvement in case planning
- 19) Worker visits with child
- 20) Worker visits with parents

Area Needing Improvement

Area Needing Improvement

Area Needing Improvement

Area Needing Improvement

Onsite Review Fire	<u>idings</u>						
Well Being Item 1	7: Needs and	d Services o	of Child, Pare	nts and Car	egivers		
			Area Needing				
	Strength		Improv	ement	Not Applicable		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Foster Care	4	40%	6 60%		0	0	
Treatment	4	40%	6	60%	0	0	
Total Cases	8	40%	12	60%	0	0	

Explanation of Item 17: Needs and Services of Child, Parents and Caregivers

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item asks two questions: 1) Were the needs of the child, parents, and caregivers assessed, and 2) Did the agency take steps to meet the identified needs? This is a weak area for both foster care and treatment cases because in 60% of the cases assessments ignored fathers and alternate caregivers, and focused almost exclusively on the mothers of children.

Onsite Review Findings								
Well Being Item 18: Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning								
			Area Ne	eeding				
	Stren	gth	Improve	ement	Not Applicable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Foster Care	3	30%	7	70%	0	0		
Treatment	1	10%	9	90%	0	0		
Total Cases	4	20%	16	80%	0	0		

Explanation of Item 18: Child and Family Involvement in Case Planning

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's efforts to involve parents and children in the case planning process. Onsite reviewers found that 90% of the foster care cases and 70% of the treatment cases needed improvement. In the foster care cases, the mother, father and age-appropriate children were not involved in the case planning process. In the treatment cases, the plans were incomplete, not current, or not signed by the parents. Also in the treatment cases, the agency was consistently focusing on engaging the mother rather than the custodian father or the male perpetrator in the case planning process.

Agency Data								
Performance Measure 14: Face-to-Face Visits With Children								
Objective: >	= 100% (Agency Policy))						
	Number of Children	Number of	Percent of Children	Number of				
	Under Agency	Children Visited	Visited Every Month	Children				
	Supervision at Least	Every Month		Above or				
	One Complete			(Below)				
	Calendar Month			Standard				
Foster Care	27	25	93%	(2)				
Treatment	86	56	65.12%	(1.7)				

Explanation of Item 19: Worker Visits with Child

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item measures the frequency of caseworker visits with children under agency supervision, and evaluates the quality of those visits. State law and agency policy requires that children under agency supervision be seen each month. Agency data shows that 65.12% of the children in treatment cases and 93% of the children in foster care cases were visited monthly.

Onsite Review Findings								
Well Being Item 20: Worker Visits with Parent(s)								
	Area Needing							
	Stren	gth	Improv	vement	Not Applicable			
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
Foster Care	2	22%	7	78%	1	0		
Treatment	6	60%	4 40% 0 0					
Total Cases	8	42%	11	58%	1	0		

Explanation of Item 20: Worker Visits with Parents

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item measures the frequency of caseworker visits with parents, and evaluates the quality of those visits. Reviewers identified significant problems in 78% of the foster care cases and in 40% of the treatment cases. The agency consistently failed to assess or engage the fathers of children. In addition, there were no reasonable efforts made by the workers to visit the parents in the home even when the plan was reunification.

Well-Being Outcome 2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.

The agency's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of one item:

21) Educational needs of the child

Area Needing Improvement

Onsite Review Fire	ndings					
Well Being Item	21: Education	nal Needs	of the Child			
			Area Ne	Area Needing		
	Strength		Improv	ement	Not A ₁	oplicable
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Foster Care	5	71%	2	29%	3	0
Treatment	6	60%	4 40%		0	0
Total Cases	11	65%	6	35%	3	0

Explanation of Item 21: Educational Needs of the Child

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's ability to assess and meet the educational needs of children under agency supervision. This is an area needing improvement in 29% of the foster care cases and 40% of the treatment cases because there caseworkers did not followed-up to determine if the identified educational needs were being addressed. Caseworkers often assessed children's school performance by questioning the children or caregiver, but failed to make direct contact with the school to verify the information received from clients.

Well-Being Outcome 3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.

The agency's performance on this outcome is based on the rating of two items:

22) Physical health of the child

Area Needing Improvement

23) Mental health of the child

Strength

Onsite Review Fin	dings						
Well Being Item 2	2: Physical H	Health of th	e Child				
			Area No	eeding			
	Strength		Improv	Improvement		Applicable	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Foster Care	5	50%	5	50%	0	0	
Treatment	5	5 50% 5 50% 0					
Total Cases	10	50%	10	50%	0	0	

Explanation of Item 22: Physical Health of the Child

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's ability to assess and meet the medical needs of children under agency supervision. In 50% of the cases children's dental needs were not assessed or addressed, even though staff acknowledged that dental problems were prevalent among the children under agency supervision.

Onsite Review Findings						
Well Being Item 23: Mental Health of the Child						
			Area Needing			
	Strength		Improvement		Not Applicable	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Foster Care	6	86%	1	14%	3	0
Treatment	6	67%	3	33%	1	0
Total Cases	12	75%	4	25%	4	0

Explanation of Item 23: Mental Health of the Child

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's ability to assess and meet the mental health needs of children under agency supervision. In 75% of the cases, the children's mental health needs were assessed and met as needed. Three treatment cases and one foster care case needed improvement because the children's mental health needs were not assessed.

Unfounded Investigations

	Yes	No
Was the investigation initiated timely?	4	1
Was the assessment adequate?	2	3
Was the decision appropriate?	3	2

Explanation of Item 24: Unfounded Investigations

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the agency's investigative process and determines if decisions were supported by the facts of the cases. Three of the five assessments reviewed were not thorough because the agency failed to interview all of the relevant parties. One case required a forensic examination on a child to determine if abuse had occurred. That examination was not conducted. Two of the cases should have been indicated and the families should have been offered services to ensure the safety of the children in the home.

~ .		
Screened	()1114 l	ntolzac
S CLEEHED	1	makes

	Yes	No	Cannot Determine
Was the Intake Appropriately Screened Out?	10	0	0
			Not Applicable
Were Necessary Collaterals Contacted?	4	1	5
Were Appropriate Referrals Made?	1	0	9

Explanation of Item 25: Screened Out Intakes

This is an area of **Strength** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the process by which the agency screens out reports of abuse and/or neglect to determine if the intakes were appropriately screened out. Reviewers determined that all of the intakes were appropriately screened out and the necessary collaterals were contacted regarding the reported allegations.

Foster Home Licenses

Agency Data					
Performance Measure 4: Foster Homes/Facilities with Current Licenses					
Objective: >= 100% (Agency Policy)					
	Number of Open	Homes with	Percent of Homes	Above or	
	Homes & Facilities	Current License	with Current License	(Below) Standard	
State	3,563	3,529	99.05%		
Saluda	5	3	60%	(1.9)	

Explanation of Item 26: Foster Home Licenses

This is an **Area Needing Improvement** for Saluda DSS. This item evaluates the process by which the agency ensures that all foster homes comply with licensing requirements and are valid. All five of the foster home licenses reviewed were not valid. Reviewers found that safety checks were not completed on all adults living in the foster homes, as required by policy.

Saluda County DSS Summary Sheet						
Performance Item or Outcome		Perfor	Performance Item Ratings			
		Strength	Area Needing Improvement	N/A*		
Safety Ou	tcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from	abuse and neglect.				
Item 1: *Str	Timeliness of initiating investigations of reports of child maltreatment	13/15=87%	2/15=13%	5		
Item 2: ANI	Repeat maltreatment	16/20=80%	4/20=20%	0		
Safety Out	come 2: Children are safely maintained in their homes wh	nenever possible and	d appropriate.			
Item 3: Str	Services to family to protect child (ren) in home and prevent removal	18/19=95%	1/19=5%	5		
Item 4: ANI	Risk of harm to child (ren)	14/20=70%	6/20=30%	0		
Permanen	cy Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in	their living situation	ons.			
Item 5: *ANI	Foster care re-entries	7/7=100%	0	3		
Item 6: Str	Stability of foster care placement	10/10=100%	0	0		
Item 7: ANI	Permanency goal for child	8/10=80%	2/10=20%	0		
Item 8: ANI	Reunification, guardianship, or permanent placement with relatives	3/6 =50%	3/6 =50%	4		
Item 9: Str	Adoption	2/2=100%		8		
Item 10: ANI	Permanency goal of Alternate Planned Permanent Living Arrangement (APPLA)	1/2=50%	1 /2=50%	8		
Perma	nency Outcome 2: The continuity of family relationships	-	preserved for children.			
Item 11: *ANI	Proximity of foster care placement	10/10=100%	0	0		
Item 12: ANI	Placement with siblings	5/8=63%	3/8=37%	2		
Item 13: ANI	Visiting with parents and siblings in foster care	2/10=20%	8/10=80%	0		
Item 14: ANI	Preserving connections	8/9=89%	1/9=11%	0		
Item 15: ANI	Relative placement		8/8=100%	2		
Item 16: ANI	Relationship of child in care with parents		10/10=100%	0		
	Vell Being Outcome 1: Families have enhanced capacity t	to provide for their	children's needs.			
Item 17: ANI	Needs and services of child, parents, caregiver	12/20=60%	8/20=40%	0		
Item 18: ANI	Child and family involvement in case planning	4/20=20%	16/20=80%	0		
Item 19: ANI	Worker visits with child	9/20=45%	11/20=55%	0		
Item 20: ANI	Worker visits with parent(s)	9/19=47%	10/19=53%	1		
			•	•		
Item 21: ANI	Educational needs of the child	11/17=65%	6/17=35	3		
Well B	eing Outcome 3: Children receive adequate services to me	eet their physical an	d mental health needs			
Item 22: ANI	Physical health of the child	10/20=50%	10/20=50%	0		
Item 23: ANI	Mental health of the child	12/16=75%	4/16=25%	4		

The objective is that 95% of cases be rated "Strength"

Str = Strength

ANI = Area Needing Improvement

^{* =} Rating based on agency data, not onsite review findings